Effectiveness of Hexetidine 0.1% in Eliminating Candida albicans Colonizing Dentures: A Randomized Clinical In Vivo Study
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Abstract:
Background: Effective cleaning of dentures is important to maintain a good oral hygiene for patients suffering from denture stomatitis (DS). This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of hexetidine 0.1% in eliminating C. albicans colonizing dentures.

Materials and Methods: A total of 40 denture wearers (18 men, 22 women; age range 40-80 years) with clinical evidence of DS were randomly divided into 2 groups, 1 test, and 1 control. The dentures of the test group were treated by immersion in hexetidine 0.1% while those of the control group were immersed in distilled water. Swab samples from the palatal surfaces of the upper dentures were collected before and after of cleaner use and examined mycologically.

Results: Reduction in the number of colony-forming units (CFU) of C. albicans after immersion of the dentures with hexetidine 0.1% was evaluated compared to those of the control group.

Conclusion: Hexetidine 0.1% solution tested for the first time as a product of disinfection of the acrylic dentures showed average results after immersion of 8 night hours for 4 days.
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Introduction
Denture stomatitis (DS) is defined as an inflammatory process of the oral mucosa underlying a removable, partial or total, dental prosthesis.¹ Etiological factors in DS include the trauma caused by an ill-fitting denture, lack of oral and prosthesis hygiene and a favorable environment for the proliferation of microorganisms.² Although bacterial infection, mechanical irritation, and allergic reaction have been proposed as possible causes of DS, infection caused by the overgrowth of fungal species in the genus Candida mainly C. albicans is often implicated.¹ For Gendreau and Loewy, 15-70% of denture wearers have DS and oral hygiene related risk factors of this condition are significantly associated with morbidly increased colonization of C. albicans.³

Denture hygiene is an important factor in the prevention and treatment of DS. For that, many modalities of oral care and denture-cleansing techniques have been suggested such as mechanical brushing, microwave sterilization and the use of chemical cleansing like soap, effervescent tablets, and mouthwashes.⁴⁻⁵ Among the mouthwashes, many have been suggested as soaking solutions such as, cetylpyridinium chloride, chlorhexidine digluconate,⁶⁻⁹ and hexetidine.¹⁰

Jones et al. (1997) described the positive effect of hexetidine in decreasing the adherence of the C. albicans in the epithelial cells of the oral mucosa.¹⁰ This adherence is a key factor in the filamentation and therefore in the transition to the virulence of Candidas generally saprophytes. In addition, hexetidine 0.1% is available at affordable prices in the local market. This will enable the patients, in case this solution was deemed effective, to use it as part of a daily prosthetic hygiene.

However, studies of the hexetidine effect on C. albicans are rare and to our knowledge, its in vivo effect on C. albicans of the acrylic dentures has not been investigated yet.

The aim of this study was to test the efficacy of hexetidine mouthwash in reducing or eliminating C. albicans associated with DS compared to distilled water as a control solution.

Material and Methods
This randomized controlled trial was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki agreement for research on humans, and the study design was approved through the Institutional Review Board and Independent Ethics Committee of the School of Dentistry, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study prior to treatment.

Conclusion: Hexetidine 0.1% solution tested for the first time as a product of disinfection of the acrylic dentures showed average results after immersion of 8 night hours for 4 days.
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Complete maxillary edentulous denture-wearing patients attending the Department of Oral Pathology and Diagnosis at the Lebanese University during a period of 1-year were examined for clinical evidence of Newton’s type II DS. Newton’s type II is a diffuse erythema involving part or all of the mucosa, which is covered by the denture.  

A quantitative microbiological measurement was performed on the infected oral mucosa and the fitting side of the dentures.

Patients in the test group had their dentures soaked in a solution of hexetidine 0.1% during the night for 8 h (from 10 pm to 6 am) for 4 consecutive nights, the ones of the control group in distilled water following the same protocol.

Swabs were cultured in Sabouraud’s dextrose agar (40 g/l) and containing chloramphenicol 0.5 g/l and actidione 0.5 g/l. Candida count was carried out after 48 h incubation at 37°C in aerobic conditions. C. albicans was differentiated from the other species by their production of filaments in 0.5 ml of animal serum.

The primary outcome measure was the relative reduction in C. albicans colony count expressed in colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml collected from the denture surface at day 1 (D1) and after the 4 nights of immersion at day 4 (D4). The relative reduction = (a-b)/a, “a” being the number of colonies before immersion and “b” the number of colonies after.

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of distribution and the data were found to follow a non-normal distribution. Accordingly, the non-parametric Kruskall–Wallis test was applied to test the working hypothesis of difference in the relative reduction of C. albicans between the two groups and a comparison procedure (Mann–Whitney) was performed to analyze the data.

A confidence level of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM, USA), Version 21.0.

Discussion

Fungi are eukaryotic micro-organisms. The most relevant of these belong to the genus Candida. Many types of fungal infections occur in the mouth although the most common is the candidiasis caused by C. albicans colonizing oral cavity in 40-60% of healthy persons. Dentures predispose to candidiasis as may as 65% of people wearing dentures which produces a microenvironment conducive to the growth of Candida with low oxygen, low pH, and an anaerobic environment. This may be due to enhanced adherence of Candida to acrylic, reduced saliva flow under the surfaces of the dentures or poor oral hygiene.

A study of Budtz-Jørgensen et al. (1996) detected DS in 72% of denture wearers in an elderly population living in a geriatric institution. It has been widely accepted that proper routine cleansing of dentures is required to prevent DS and maintain healthy supporting tissues. Kulak-Ozkan et al. (2002) evaluated 70 complete denture wearers clinically and mycologically. They concluded that there exists a statistically significant relationship between DS, presence of yeasts, and denture cleanliness. It is well accepted that chemical disinfectants have some advantages over mechanical cleaning such as effective disinfection and ease of use.

This study investigated the effectiveness of hexetidine 0.1% mouthwash, in eliminating C. albicans on dentures compared to a control solution, distilled water.
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Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the two study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age (SD)</th>
<th>Palate-D1 (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>Denture-D1 (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>Denture-D4 (Mean (SD))</th>
<th>Relative reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>70.45 (12.38)</td>
<td>905 (2663.967)</td>
<td>52860.5 (222960.16)</td>
<td>6576.4 (26712.55)</td>
<td>0.751 (0.289)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med (Minimum, Maximum)</td>
<td>75.5 (41, 81)</td>
<td>170 (20, 12000)</td>
<td>2000 (40, 1000000)</td>
<td>185 (0, 120000)</td>
<td>0.847 (~0.2, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>66.4 (11.49)</td>
<td>1954 (4320.5)</td>
<td>252717.1 (442673.38)</td>
<td>253568.25 (442172.21)</td>
<td>−1.371 (4.271)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med (Minimum, Maximum)</td>
<td>68 (46, 81)</td>
<td>290 (20, 18000)</td>
<td>2750 (40, 100000)</td>
<td>7350 (40, 1000000)</td>
<td>0 (~18.37, 0.388)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the relative reduction in Candida albicans between the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexetidine</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>48.678</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Sixou and Hamel,10 the effect of hexetidine on C. albicans is variable. Jones et al.10 described the positive effect of hexetidine in decreasing the adherence of C. albicans in the epithelial cells of the oral mucosa. A study conducted in vitro by Luc et al.3 shows that hexetidine 0.1% is slightly active on the C. albicans after contact duration of 5 min.

Our choice was, despite the above, fixed on hexetidine for the following reasons:

1. The studies of the action in vivo of hexetidine on C. albicans are rare. Moreover, we did not find any study in the literature review highlighting its action on the C. albicans of the acrylic dentures.
2. Brown coloration of the denture resins soaked in other antiseptics like chlorhexidine has not been described with hexetidine. This color can be a major obstacle in the use of soaking solutions as a daily decontamination product.

Our results showed that among the 20 patients of the test group, dentures soaked in hexetidine 0.1%, only 2 registered a total disappearance of C. albicans (0 CFU/ml), 16 had reduced number of colonies for more than 80% (3 for more than 60%), 1 patient went from more than 1,000,000 CFU/ml before soaking to 120000 CFU/ml at D4 sampling and 1 patient had a higher number of colonies at D4 compared to D1.

The effect of hexetidine on C. albicans is, therefore, variable but is not null. On the other hand, among the 20 dentures soaked in hexetidine, 4 underwent a very light brownish stain. These four prostheses were all old in age. In our opinion, further studies need to be done in order to confirm this point, which may be due to a factor related to the age of the resin.

The control group, as expected, the results showed absolute ineffectiveness of the distilled water.

Conclusion

Hexetidine solution presents moderately good results after soaking dentures for 8 h during 4 consecutive nights. In this respect, it deserves subsequently more research, under various operating protocols to enable an objective study of its fungicide effect, and explain the resin coloring problem.
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