# Comparative Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Various Disinfectants on two Microorganisms and the effect of same on Flexural Strength of Acrylic Denture Base Resin - An In Vitro Study

#### S Ganesh<sup>1</sup>, Anil Kumar Gujjari<sup>2</sup>, Shylesh Kumar B S<sup>3</sup>, Ravi M B<sup>3</sup>, Sowmya S<sup>4</sup>, Meenakshi S<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reader, Department of Prosthodontics including Crown & Bridge, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent College of JSS University Mysore, Karnataka, India; <sup>2</sup>Professor & Head, Department of Prosthodontics including Crown & Bridge, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent College of JSS University Mysore, Karnataka, India; <sup>3</sup>Reader, Department of Proshthodontics, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent college of JSS University, Mysore, Karnataka, India; <sup>4</sup>Lecturer, Department of Proshthodontics, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent college of JSS University, Mysore, Karnataka, India; <sup>4</sup>Lecturer, Department of Proshthodontics, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent college of JSS University, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** To evaluate and compare the effectiveness of various disinfectants on Candida albicans (C.albicans) and Staphylococcus aureus (Staph.aureus) inoculated on acrylic denture base resin and effect of disinfectants on flexural strength of denture base resin.

**Materials and Methods:** A total of 130 acrylic denture base resin specimens were fabricated and processed according to manufacturer instructions. 82 sterile specimens were used for microbiological study. 2 specimens were cultured for organism growth to ensure sterility. 40 sterile specimens each were inoculated by immersing in Sabouraud & Nutrient broth containing microorganisms for 45 minutes each. Then the specimens were immersed in chlorhexidine, glutaraldehyde & distilled water (control) for 4 & 8 minutes. Then the specimens were neutralized. After neutralization the specimens were cultured onto Sabouraud's broth for C.albicans and Nutrient broth for Staph.aureus incubated for 72 h and observed for turbidity. At the end of 72 h subculture were made onto Sabourads dextrose agar media for C.albicans, Blood agar media for Staph.aureus and incubated for 48 h to observe growth.

For flexural strength testing, 8 specimens each was immersed in the above mentioned disinfectants and distilled water for 8 & 16 minutes. Each of which was then subjected to 3 point flexural load in Lloyd's Universal testing machine. The peak load was recorded and flexural strength values were calculated.

**Results:** The microbiological study revealed that both disinfectants were equally effective at 4 minutes against C.albicans & Staph.aureus microorganisms. Flexural strength test revealed no significant difference between test and control groups.

**Conclusion:** Chlorhexdine and Glutaraldehyde disinfectans are equally effective against C.albicans and Staph.aureus microorganisms. Heat polymerized acrylic denture base resin did not demonstrate any significant change in flexural strength between control and test specimens.

Key Words: Chlorhexidine, Glutaraldehyde, C.albicans, Staph.aureus, Flexural strength.

**How to cite this article:** Ganesh S, Gujjari A K, Shylesh K B S, Ravi M B, Sowmya S, Meenakshi S.Comparative Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Various Disinfectants on two Microorganisms and the effect of same on Flexural Strength of Acrylic Denture Base Resin - An In Vitro Study. *J Int Oral Health* 2013; 5(3):55-62.

| Source of Support: Nil                   | Conflict of Interest: None Declared |                        |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Received: 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2013 | Reviewed: 21st April 2013           | Accepted: 2nd May 2013 |

Address for Correspondence: Dr. S Ganesh. <sup>1</sup>Reader, Department of Prosthodontics including Crown & Bridge, JSS Dental College and Hospital, A Constituent College of JSS University Mysore, Karnataka, India Phone: +91 – (0) – 9886722458. Email:hidrganesh@gmail.com

#### Introduction

Dental prostheses used by patients exposed to oral microbial flora which includes bacteria, viruses and fungi. If disinfection procedures is not practiced, a cycle of cross contamination may occur and thereby expose dental personnel and patients to various infections.<sup>1-2</sup>

To eliminate cross contamination all prostheses and dental appliances shall be properly disinfected by a method that is practical, easy and satisfactory.<sup>3-5</sup> Immersion of denture in a suitable disinfecting solution for an adequate length of time to achieve disinfection or sterilization is a convenient and inexpensive method.<sup>5-6</sup>

Commonly used chemicals for disinfecting prostheses are glutaraldehyde, sodium hypochlorite, chlorine-dioxide, chlorhexidine etc.<sup>7</sup>

Various studies have been conducted to check the efficacy of disinfectants. Bell JA. et al. compared the biocidal effectiveness of chlorine dioxide and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite on acrylic resin strips inoculated with Staphylococcus aureus, Candida albicans and Escherichia coli.<sup>8</sup> Brace & Plummer studied effectiveness of a 4% chlorhexidine scrub for 15 seconds followed by a 3-minute contact time with a chlorine dioxide solution.<sup>9</sup>

A denture exposed to disinfectants routinely has shown that immersion in certain disinfectant solution can affect the strength and the structure of denture base resin.<sup>6</sup> The assessment of transverse strength of acrylic resins reported as a reliable method to estimate resin behavior under different experimental conditions.

#### Materials and Methods

#### **Preparation of Acrylic Resin Specimen**

A metal mold with three plates was fabricated of which middle plate had windows measuring 65x13x3 mm for flexural strength as per ADA specification no 12, with a one degree flare on all four walls to facilitate easy removal of wax pattern. This was done to get uniform size of wax pattern, to make acrylic test specimens.<sup>10</sup> Wax (modeling wax, Hindustan Dental Products) was melted and poured into the openings in metal mold. The numbering of wax pattern done, after it hardened. The wax patterns were flasked according to conventional technique and dewaxing was carried out. Acrylic resin(DPI Heat-Cure Improved ; DPI India, India) packed, trial done before final closure. After bench curing for 30 minutes, polymerization was carried out according to the manufacturer's instruction. The flask is opened after cooled to room temperature. The specimens were recovered and finishing and polishing was done using conventional method. Total of 130 specimens were fabricated. 82 specimens were used for microbiological study and remaining 48 specimens were used for flexural strength testing.

# **Evaluating the efficacy of various disinfectants on micorganisms:**

82 acrylic specimens used for evaluating efficacy of disinfectants. Before testing the specimens cleaned, packed in sterile pouch and sterilized in ethylene oxide sterilizer.<sup>1,11</sup> 80 specimens for evaluating the efficacy of disinfectants and 2 specimens cultured for organism growth to check sterility. 80 specimens divided into various groups, 40 specimens each used for testing C.albicans and Staph.aureus.

# Effects of Glutaraldehyde and Chlorhexidine disinfectants on C. albicans & Staph.aureus<sup>9</sup>

#### Preparation of the inoculum:

The standard strain of Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus was subcultured into Sabouraud's dextrose broth & nutrient broth respectively in individual McCartney bottle (total of 80 McCartney bottles). They were incubated at 37°C for 6 hours to get a turbidity equivalent to 10<sup>6</sup> organisms/ml.

Turbidity was compared to McFarland turbidity standard, adjusted to contain 10<sup>6</sup> organisms/ml. Sterile horse serum was added to final concentration of 10% to simulate organic matter.

# *Exposure of specimens to Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus:*

40 sterile specimens each were dipped in the above prepared inoculum for 45 minutes.

# Exposure to disinfectant solutions:

At the end of 45 minutes, various groups of specimens were immersed in separate McCortney bottles containing chlorhexidine and glutaralde-hyde.

### Exposure to distilled water (Control):

At the end of 45 minutes, control group specimens were immersed in separate McCortney bottle containing distilled water.

# Exposure to Neutralizing broth:

At the end of 4 and 8 minutes, acrylic specimens were immersed in neutralizing broth containing 0.02% Sodium thiosulphate in trypticase soy broth. This was done to neutralize the effect of disinfectant.

# Inoculation into broth:

Acrylic specimens exposed to C.albicans were transferred to Sabouraud's dextrose broth & specimens exposed to Staph.aureus were transferred to nutrient broth incubated at room temperature for 72 h. Broth was observed for turbidity.

# Inoculation onto media:

The specimens exposed to C.albicans and Staph.aureus were subcultured onto Sabouraud's

dextrose agar plate and blood agar plate. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours to observe the growth of microorganisms.

The growths of C.albicans & Staph.aureus microorganisms were observed visually and were recorded.

Evaluating effects of immersion in chlorhexdine and glutaraldehyde on flexural strength of denture base acrylic resin.

The specimens were stored in distilled water, kept in incubator at  $37^{\circ}$ C for  $50 \pm 1$  hour before testing.

The specimens were divided into various groups to immerse in chlorhexidine, glutaraldehyde and distilled water for 8 and 16 minutes respectively.

Although it was found from the microbiological results that chlorhexidine and glutaraldehyde disinfectants were effective in 4 minutes. When patient visits a dental clinic/hospital for repair, adjustments or for new denture the prosthesis will be subjected to disinfection twice, hence flexural strength test was done for 8 and 16 minutes.

After disinfection, the acrylic specimens removed from disinfectant solutions, thoroughly washed in running water dried with absorbent paper.<sup>3</sup>

# **Flexural Strength Testing:**

The flexural strength was determined using a 3point bend testing device in a Lloyd's Universal Testing Machine. The specimens were loaded until fracture occurred. The peak load (fracture load) was recorded in chart recorded.

# **Statistical Analysis**

The effects of disinfectants on Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus were analyzed using contingency coefficient, Analysis of variance-Two way (General Linear Model), The cross tab procedure (Contigency table analysis), descriptive statistics. All the statistical calculations were done using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

#### Results

This study was done to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of disinfectants on C.albicans and

disinfectants on flexural strength of denture base acrylic resin. The results are shown in table 3. No significant difference existed in the mean flexural

| Duration       | Disinfectants          | CANDIDA ALBICANS |         |           |         | Total     |         |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
|                |                        | Abse             | nt      | Present   |         |           |         |
| 4 minutes      |                        | Frequency        | Percent | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
|                | Chlorhexidine          | 8                | 100.0   | -         | -       | 8         | 100.0   |
|                | Chlorhexidine          | 8                | 100.0   | -         | -       | 8         | 100.0   |
|                | Distilled<br>water     | -                |         | 4         | 100.0   | 4         | 100.0   |
|                | Total                  | 16               | 100.0   | 4         | 100.0   | 20        | 100.0   |
| Contingency co | oefficient =.707; P<.0 | 00 (HS)          |         |           |         |           | I       |
| 8 minutes      | Chlorhexidine          | 8                | 100.0   | -         | -       | 8         | 100.0   |
|                | Chlorhexidine          | 8                | 100.0   | -         | -       | 8         | 100.0   |
|                | Distilled water        | -                |         | 4         | 100.0   | 4         | 100.0   |
|                | Total                  | 16               | 100.0   | 4         | 100.0   | 20        | 100.0   |

|  | Table 2: Incidend | e of Staphylo | ococcus au | reus in test ar | nd control | groups    |         |  |
|--|-------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|---------|--|
| Duration                                   | Disinfectants     | STAF          | PHYLOCO    | Total           |            |           |         |  |
|  |                   | Absent        |            |                 |            | Present   |         |  |
| 4 minutes                                  |                   | Frequency     | Percent    | Frequency       | Percent    | Frequency | Percent |  |
|  | Chlorhexidine     | 8             | 100.0      | -               | -          | 8         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Chlorhexidine     | 8             | 100.0      | -               | -          | 8         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Distilled water   | -             |            | 4               | 100.0      | 4         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Total             | 16            | 100.0      | 4               | 100.0      | 20        | 100.0   |  |
| Contingency coefficient =.707; P<.000 (HS) |                   |               |            |                 |            |           |         |  |
| 8 minutes                                  | Chlorhexidine     | 8             | 100.0      | -               | -          | 8         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Chlorhexidine     | 8             | 100.0      | -               | -          | 8         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Distilled water   | -             |            | 4               | 100.0      | 4         | 100.0   |  |
|  | Total             | 16            | 100.0      | 4               | 100.0      | 20        | 100.0   |  |
| Contingency coefficient =.707; P<.000 (HS) |                   |               |            |                 |            |           |         |  |

Staph.aureus. The results are shown in Table 1 and2. Both disinfectants were equally effective. The study also evaluated and compared the effects of

strength values of different disinfectants. The respective mean flexural strength values of

control, glutaraldehyde and chlorhexidine groups are 72.67, 72.39 and 72.46, which is statistically insignificant.

#### Discussion:

#### **Cross Contamination**

When a patient visits a dental office to be treated with new dentures or to have an adjustment, repair or reline the denture has to undergo a series To minimize cross infection, prosthesis soaked in a solution that destroys or remove organisms. Disinfectant solution suggested as a method for effectively cleaning dentures.

#### Microorganisms

Oral and non-oral pathogenic organisms associated with local and systemic diseases, cultured from contaminated prostheses. The potential pathogens include Candida albicans,

| Table 3: Univariate Analysis of Variance Descriptive statistics |       |          |                |    |  |  |
|---|-------|----------|----------------|----|--|--|
| Duration  |       | Mean     | Std. deviation | Ν  |  |  |
|   | 8min  | 72.58681 | 1.34244        | 8  |  |  |
| Control   | 16min | 72.76875 | 1.24506        | 8  |  |  |
|   | Total | 72.67778 | 1.25429        | 16 |  |  |
|   | 8min  | 72,53183 | 1.43310        | 8  |  |  |
| Glutaraldehyde  | 16min | 72.26036 | 1.19028        | 8  |  |  |
|   | Total | 72.39609 | 1.28032        | 16 |  |  |
|   | 8min  | 72.50074 | 1.45204        | 8  |  |  |
| Chlorhexidine   | 16min | 72,43104 | 1.19027        | 8  |  |  |
|   | Total | 72.46589 | 1.28311        | 16 |  |  |
|   | 8min  | 72.53979 | 1.34780        | 24 |  |  |
| Total   | 16min | 72.48672 | 1.17505        | 24 |  |  |
|   | TOTAL | 72.51325 | 1.25114        | 48 |  |  |

of procedures. The procedures may include try in, trimming, finishing, polishing etc.,6 Likewise the prostheses in contact with oral tissues, saliva or blood when removed from patient mouth at contaminated by pathogenic various stages transmitted through direct organisms, which contact or through aerosol produced during trimming, finishing or polishing procedure. Opportunistic microorganisms with varying levels of pathogenicity may be spread and disseminated in the air leading to cross infection and exposing dental professional and patients to various diseases.<sup>4,12</sup> It is futile to eliminate the associated microorganisms from the mouth, if the oral tissues are inoculated repeatedly by contaminated prosthesis.13

Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli etc.,<sup>8</sup> The presence of Candida albicans as well as the cohabitation of different Candida species is more frequent in denture related stomatitis.<sup>14</sup> C.albicans was isolated in 86% of the patients with atrophic denture stomatitis and Staph.aureus was isolated in a similar percentage.<sup>15</sup>

Kulak et al. studied the microbial flora in denture plaque of patients with denture stomatitis. They showed the presence of C. albicans at a level 100 times greater than in healthy mucosa.<sup>16</sup> Glass et al. demonstrated that patient's dentures harbour numerous pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria and fungi. Staph.aureus species were the dominant cocci present and fungal isolates were primarily C. albicans As per these studies Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus were chosen for the present study.

# Disinfectants

Glutaraldehyde is bactericidal, viricidal, fungicidal, sporocidal, pseudomonacidal and tuberocidal and is recommended for removable prosthetic dentures. Chlorhexidine a widely used antiseptic and disinfectant acts by destroying cell membrane and precipitating the cell cytoplasm. It has a broad-spectrum efficacy and is much less irritating to tissue than other products.<sup>4,5</sup>

Glutaraldehyde and povidone iodine killed all contaminating microorganisms within 1 h, while the 1:5 dilution of sodium hypochlorite solution was equally effective after 24 h. Two percent glutaraldehyde was the most effective disinfectant with the least adverse effects on the physical properties of the set cast.<sup>17</sup>

Considering the above mentioned advantages, glutaraldehyde and chlorhexidine disinfectants were selected for the study.

Even the recent studies have shown that above selected disinfectants were effective, in one of the study the disinfection of acrylic resin specimens contaminated in vitro by Candida albicans, Streptococcus mutans, S. aureus, Escherichia coli, or Bacillus subtilis. The results showed that 1% sodium hypochlorite, 2% glutaraldehyde, and 2% chlorhexidine digluconate were most effective against the analyzed microorganisms.<sup>18</sup>

Chlorhexidine was effective in disinfection of dentures contaminated with azole resistant Candida albicans.<sup>19</sup> Another study showed that 1 min time of exposure with Sodium hypochlorite was able reduce S. aureus and S. viridans followed by 0.525% sodium hypochlorite and 2% glutaraldehyde for 10 min.<sup>20</sup>

In the present study the efficacy of chlorhexidine and glutaraldehyde disinfectants were tested at 4 & 8 min. time intervals against C. albicans and Staph. aureus and distilled water as control. It was found that there was no growth of microorganisms in test group after 4 min. immersion. This suggests that chlorhexidine and glutaraldehyde are equally effective.

The control group showed visible growth for the tested microorganisms.

# Flexural Strength

Flexural strength, transverse strength, or modulus of rupture, as this property is variously called, is essentially a strength of a bar supported at each end or thin disc supported along a lower support circle, under a static load.<sup>21</sup>

The longevity of a dental prosthesis depends on the physical properties of the denture base resin. Denture base polymers may fail clinically due to flexural fatigue. The assessment of transverse strength of acrylic resins has been reported to be a reliable method to estimate resin behaviour under different experimental conditions.<sup>4</sup>

It is desirable that the disinfection process should not involve any physical, mechanical or chemical changes in the prostheses. Since a new denture disinfected before delivery to the patient and denture disinfected before and after adjustment.

The denture could be exposed to certain disinfectants often and immersion in certain disinfectant solution can affect the strength and the structure of denture base resin.<sup>6</sup>

Hence decided to test the effects of chlorhexidine and glutaraldehyde disinfectants on the flexural properties of denture base resin.

The present study compared mean flexural strength recorded for heat-polymerized Acrylic denture base resin specimens subjected to immersion in disinfectants and distilled water (control) for 8 and 16 minutes.

The statistical analysis of test results revealed no significant difference among the mean flexural strength values between control and test specimens after 8 and 16 minute-immersion time intervals. Since flexural strength depends on the bulk of the material, an insignificant change in flexural strength indicates that the bulk of the material remained intact from the influence of the disinfectant agent.

The recent study using 1%, 2%, 5.25% sodium 2% hypochlorite, glutaraldehyde, 4% gluconate chlorhexidine showed that all disinfectant solutions promoted a statistically significant decrease in hardness, where as with surface roughness, the materials tested showed a statistically significant increase, but these results could not be considered as clinically significant.<sup>22</sup> Heat-polymerized acrylic resins either mechanically or chemically polished, did not demonstrate significant changes in transverse strength during immersion in the disinfecting solution tested, regardless of time of immersion.23 The microhardness values of the acrylic denture

base resins were affected by the thermal cycling and disinfection(Microwave, Efferdent, chlorhexidine and hypochlorite) procedures, but values were within acceptable clinical limits for the acrylic resins.<sup>24</sup>

The present study demonstrated that both chlorhexidine and glutaraldehyde are effective disinfectants against C.albicans and Staph.aureus microorganisms, with least effect on flexural strength of denture base resin.

Further studies needed to test efficacy of disinfectants on different microorganisms and various properties of denture base resin.

# Conclusion

The following conclusions were made:

1. Glutaraldehyde (Cidex) and Chlorhexidine (Microshield) disinfectants were equally effective against acrylic specimens inoculated with Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus microorganisms after 4 minutes of immersion.

- 2. Heat polymerized denture base acrylic resin did not show any significant changes in flexural strength after immersion in disinfectants for 8 and 16 minutes between control and test specimens.
- 3. There was no significant change in flexural strength of denture base acrylic resin between Glutaraldehyde (Cidex) and Chlorhexidine (Microshield) disinfectants after immersion for 8 and 16 minutes.

### **References:**

- Lin JJ, Cameron SM, Runyan DA, Craft DW. Disinfection of denture base acrylic resin. J Prosthet Dent. 1999 ;81(2):202-6.
- Chau VB, Saunders TR, Pimsler M, Elfring DR. In-depth disinfection of acrylic resins. J Prosthet Dent. 1995 ;74(3):309-13.
- Asad T, Watkinson AC, Huggett R. The effect of disinfection procedures on flexural properties of denture base resins. J Prosthet Dent. 1992;68(1):191-5.
- 4. Orsi IA, Andrade VG. Effect of chemical disinfectants on the transverse strength of heat polymerized acrylic resins submitted to mechanical and chemical polishing. J Prosthet Dent. 2004;92(4):382-8.
- 5. Asad T, Watkinson AC, Hugget R. The effects of various disinfectant solutions on the surface hardness of an acrylic resin denture base material. Int J Prosthodont. 1993;6(1):9-12.
- 6. Shen C, Javid NS, Colaizzi FA. The effect of glutaraldehyde base disinfectants on denture base resins. J Prosthet Dent. 1989;61(5):583-9.
- Polyzois GL, Yannikakis SA, Zissis AJ, Demetriou PP. Color changes of denture base materials after disinfection and sterilization immersion. Int J Prosthodont. 1997;10(1):83-9.
- Bell JA, Brockmann SL, Sackuvich DA. Effectiveness of two disinfectants on denture base acrylic resin with an organic load. J Prosthet Dent. 1989;61(5):580-3.

- Brace ML, Plummer KD. Practical denture disinfection. J Prosthet Dent. 1993;70(6):538-40.
- Council on dental materials and devices: Revised American Dental Association specifications No. 12 for denture base polymers. J Am Dent Assoc. 1975;90(2):451-8.
- McGivney GP, Castleberry DJ. Removable partial prosthodontics. 8th ed, Mosby Co. 1989.
- 12. Glass RT, Bullard JW, Hadley CS, Mix EW, Conrad RS. Partial spectrum of microorganisms found in dentures and possible disease implications. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2001;101(2):92-4.
- 13. Moore TC, Smith DE, Kenny GE. Sanitization of dentures by several denture hygiene methods. J Prosthet Dent . 1984;52(2):158-63.
- 14. Barbeau J, Seguin J, De Koninck L, Avon SL, Lalonde B, Rompre P. Reassessing the presence of Candida albicans in denture related stomatitis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod. 2003;95(1):51-9.
- 15. Baena Monroy T, Moreno Maldonado V, Martinez FF, Barrios AB, Quindos G, Sanchez Vargas LO. Candida albicans, Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus mutans colonization in patients wearing dental prosthesis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2005 ;1;10 Suppl 1:E27-39.
- Kulak Y, Arikan A, Kazazoglu E. Existence of Candida albicans and microorganisms in denture stomatitis patients. J Oral Rehabil. 1997;24(10):788-90.
- 17. Ivanoski S, Savage NW, Brockhurst PJ, Bird PS. Disinfection of dental stone casts : Antimicrobial effects and physical property alterations . Dent Mater. 1995;11(1):19-23.
- Da Silva FC, Kimpara ET, Mancini MN, Balducci I, Jorge AO, Koga-Ito CY. Effectiveness of six different disinfectants on removing five microbial species and effects on

the topographic characteristics of acrylic resin. J Prosthodont. 2008;17(8):627-33.

- 19. Mima EG, Pavarina AC, Vargas Fda S, Giampaolo ET, Machado AL, Vergani CE. Effectiveness of chlorhexidine on the disinfection of complete dentures colonised with fluconazole-resistant Candida albicans: in vitro study. Mycoses. 2011;54(5):e506-12.
- Doddamani S, Patil RA, Gangadhar SA. Efficacy of various spray disinfectants on irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials: an in vitro study. Indian J Dent Res. 2011;22(6):764-9.
- Phillips RW. Skinners' Science of Dental materials 9th ed. Philedelphia, W.B.Saunders Co. 1991.
- 22. Pinto Lde R, Acosta EJ, Tavora FF, da Silva PM, Porto VC. Effect of repeated cycles of chemical disinfection on the roughness and hardness of hard reline acrylic resins. Gerodontology. 2010;27(2):147-53.
- 23. Sharan S, Kavitha HR, Konde H, Kalahasti D. Effect of chemical disinfectant on the transverse strength of heat polymerized acrylic resins subjected to mechanical and chemical polishing;an in vitro study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2012;1;13(3):389-93.
- 24. Marcelo CG, Daniela Micheline dos Santos, Gabriella TB, Amalia M, A Marobo Andreotti, Stefan Fjuza de Carvalho Dekon. Effect of thermal cycling and disinfection on microhardness of acrylic resin denture base. Journal of Medical Engineering & Technology ;2013, Vol.37, No.3: 203-7.